

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/887,168	06/25/2001	Taro Ito	Q64711	9038	
7	7590 02/13/2004			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue			HARRISON, CHANTE E		
Washington, D			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
, ,			2672	8	
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/887,168	ITO, TARO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Chante Harrison	2672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 N	lovember 2003.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 18-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>13 and 18-20</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	,				
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
—	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summan	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/887,168

**Art Unit: 2672** 

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment B, filed on 11/17/03.

  This action is made FINAL.
- 2. Claims 13, 18-20 are pending in the case. Claims 13 and 19 are independent claims. Claims 13 and 18 have been amended. Claims 19-20 have been added.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hiroshi Shirouzu, U.S. Patent 5,502,795, 3/1996.

As per independent claims 13 and 19, Shirouzu discloses a first element which produces partial straight lines (col. 4, II. 9-11, 52-54; Fig. 1), each of which is parallel to one of a vertical or a horizontal line, from a line (Fig. 18 i.e. "316"; col. 4, II. 52-54); a second element which separates a color brightness parameter of each of said partial straight lines (col. 4, II. 41-49); and a third element which produces ranges located adjacent to said partial straight lines (i.e. intensity values between the start and end

Application/Control Number: 09/887,168

Art Unit: 2672

coordinates of a line) (col. 4, II. 50-55), respectively, and makes the color of each of said ranges change smoothly from the starting point to the ending point by using said brightness parameter (col. 3, II. 10-26; col. 4, II. 56-64; col. 6, II. 35-50).

Shirouzu fails to specifically disclose placing the brightness data of the background at the starting point and the brightness data of the straight line at the ending point. However it would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to incorporate placing the brightness data of the background at the starting point and the brightness data of the straight line at the ending point with the teachings of Shirouzu because Shirouzu teaches inputting both the intensity of the background and of the line drawn into an intensity interpolator, and interpolating the brightness data beginning with the background to create a gradual change of intensity along the line (col. 4, II. 40-50).

3. Claims 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shirouzu as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Kazuhiko Tachibana, U.S. Patent 5,898,440, 4/1999.

As per dependent claim 18, Shirouzu in view of Daly discloses a fourth element which writes a predetermined one or plurality of said partial straight lines (col. 4, II. 52-54; Fig. 1 "129-132"), and wherein said third element writes said range (col. 4, II. 50-60; Fig. 1 "133"). Shirouzu fails to specifically disclose a frame buffer, which Tachibana discloses (col. 2, II. 1-7). Tachibana teaches a technique of drawing jagged straight lines having

Application/Control Number: 09/887,168

Art Unit: 2672

associated shading data, smoothing the lines by applying antialiasing processing via a processor including a line generator and a buffer for storing the lines (col. 1-2, II. 35-10). Shirouzu teaches a technique of drawing jagged straight lines having associated shading data, wherein he transmits pixel write signals when the processed data is valid. It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to include Tachibana's frame buffer in the disclosure of Shirouzu because Shirouzu teaches a processor for generating lines having shading data and drawing smoothed lines by writing the data (abstract), wherein a write signal transfers data to a storage device of a computer.

Page 4

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/887,168

Art Unit: 2672

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 11/17/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant alleges Shirouzu does not teach placing the brightness data of the background at the starting point and the brightness data of the straight line at the ending point.

In reply, Shirouzu teaches inputting both the intensity of the background and of the line drawn into an intensity interpolator, and interpolating the brightness data beginning with the background to create a gradual change of intensity along the line (col. 4, II. 40-50).

Therefore the rejection in view of Shirouzu is maintained.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Page 6

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chante Harrison whose telephone number is 703-305-3937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Razavi can be reached on 703-305-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Chante Harrison Examiner Art Unit 2672

February 6, 2004

JEFFERY BINER PRIMARY EXAMINER

May a. Brin